Decision Sciences Institute Election Results

Vivian Landrum

The Decision Sciences Institute holds Board member elections every year, following an open recommendation and nominations process. After a 30-day voting time frame via a secure email voting system, the DSI membership made their decision on who would serve on the 2018-2019 Board and lead the Institute into a new decade. >>

Big Data and Information Privacy

Silvana Faja and Silvana Trimi

Big Data has disrupted the traditional information value chain due to numerous new sources of data (e.g., SNS), new ways of collecting data (e.g., smart sensors), and more recently, much advanced analytics such as artificial intelligence and deep learning. >>

Make Plans Now to Attend DSI 2018 Annual Meeting

Subodha Kumar

Submissions are now being accepted. We cordially invite you to join DSI, the global community of scholars in the decision sciences, in debating key issues for the future of business. Come and share your views with experts from the six continents! >>
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AchEViEnTS In ReaLiZIng The PrO VuexInGS FuTure

I am deeply humbled and honored to have served as DSI President from April 1, 2017 through March 31, 2018, following the excellent performance of all previous DSI leaders. It has been an exciting and thrilling experience to participate as DSI President especially as it gets ready to celebrate its golden jubilee in 2019. Over these 49 years, we have built a strong membership base and DSI has become a truly global society with a well-established and recognized identity and has reached out to ever expanding set of professional communities in decision sciences.

It has been an invigorating experience to have the opportunity to lead a team of committed individuals to drive DSI to its next level of evolution: a premier society that is truly global in spirit and action with an overarching impact on the future developments in decision sciences. This has been possible only because of the dedicated service of numerous past and present DSI board members and because of the active participation of our members. Collectively, we have made our Institute what it is today. Our actions and visions assure that DSI will remain responsive to our needs and serve decision sciences professionals by being a leader now and in the future.

In the rest of this President’s letter (and my last one in this capacity), I (1) describe our Institute’s premier status; (2) delineate achievements and progress on several initiatives from April 1, 2017 through March 31, 2018 in realizing the promising future; (3) suggest some possible future directions for decision sciences research, teaching and community service areas; (4) invite each of us to participate in ways that will serve our individual, personal and professional needs; and (5) offer a vote of thanks.

DSI Is The PremiER SociEty For DeciSiOn ScieNCes

In one of my previous letters, I stated that DSI is alive and well. It has developed an increasing sense of purpose through its achievements. While we had some bumps on the road and a few challenges during the past few years, we are well on our way to recovery. I am happy to now report that DSI is the premier society for DSI academicians and professionals.

As I shared in my previous letters, an interesting aspect of DSI conferences is the melding of the matured and young professionals. At these conferences, you can interact with many of DSI’s previous presidents, board members, and leaders in decision sciences. At the annual DSI conference last November, this was quite evident by the observations in several special sessions including the new member welcome reception and various faculty development programs that were seen as a mechanism to help our younger members learn the ropes to prosper and grow in their careers. In addition, the Washington DC conference included keynotes by practitioners and academicians that helped the participants to be effective leaders of their organizations by enhancing their organization’s effectiveness and productivity. These keynotes and other invited and contributed sessions were well received by the participants.

As the DSI President-Elect and President from April 1, 2016 through March 31, 2018, I had the opportunity to travel to all regional DSI conferences including its annual conferences and had an opportunity to
It is with a great sadness that we inform our readers of the passing away of one of the pioneers in the area of Decision Sciences and the 1983 DSI president, Larry Moore. Professor Moore was a prolific writer authoring many articles and books. We send our sympathy and condolences to his family.

Jeet Gupta has written his last letter to you as the President of DSI. In his letter he touches on highlights of DSI accomplishment during his tenure as the President and the work ahead for the organization. He delineates the role of DSI as the premier society for Decision Sciences, increased membership and annual conference participation, revision of DSI constitution, discussion on creation of DSI Colleges, expanded role of regions, improving communication with members, and finally invites all members to participate in the upcoming Annual Conference in Chicago.

In their article titled “Big Data and Information Privacy,” authors Silvana Jaja and Silvana Trimi provide an in-depth discussion of big data, privacy, risk in big data management, and government regulations. They conclude that sophisticated AI can help the big data (if collected properly) usher new opportunities and insights helping to solve major problems that can lead to major economic, scientific, and social impact.

Cheri Speier-Pero, editor of Decision Sciences Journal (DSJ), provides us with a list of articles along with their abstracts that will appear in the DSJ, volume 49, Issue 1. There are six articles in this issue examining topics such as Employee performance and role of experience, supplier selection, and a simulation based optimization of capacitated assembly systems.

In the latest issue of Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education (DSJIE), Matthew Drake, the editor of the journal, provide a list of articles along with their abstracts that are published in Volume 16, Issue 2 of this journal. The list of articles includes topics such as Experiential Learning for Logistics and Supply Chain Management, Teaching Line Balancing through Active and Blended Learning, and Examining the Relationship between Math Self-Efficacy and Statistics Motivation in the Introductory Business Statistics Course.

This issue of Decision Line contains the results of the DSI election. Congratulations to the newly elected officers: President-Elect Janet Hartley (Bowling Green State University); VP Finance, Alan Mackelprang (Georgia Southern University); VP Global Activities, Wendy Tate (University of Tennessee-Knoxville); VP Professional Development, Sriram Narayanan (Michigan State University); VP Member Services, Shanan Gibson (Texas A&M University); and VP European Division, Carmela di Mauro (Università di Catania).

Subodha Kumar, DSI 2018 Program Chair provides some details about the upcoming conference with...
In all these interactions, I found an excitement and pride in their participation in DSI. Thus, we have succeeded in our vision of a premier global DSI.

ACHIEVEMENTS AND INITIATIVES DURING THE 2017-18 YEAR

Using the framework of alignment, agility, accuracy, accessibility, and adaptability that I suggested in the May 2017 President’s Letter, the following paragraphs summarize, with some repetitions from my previous letters, the achievements during the period April 1, 2017 through March 31, 2018 in realizing the promising future for DSI. I also report on various initiatives and activities designed to enhance value to our members on one hand and to move DSI forward on the other.

• Increased membership and annual conference participation: I am excited to report that due to the hard work of the DSI Board, conference program committee, the DSI home office and several volunteers, the number of DSI members and the number of participants show a significant increase over the previous years. In addition, the participants perceive the annual DSI conference to be of high quality. These results show that DSI is growing in both quality and quantity and is transforming itself to be a truly service oriented institute to provide significant value to its members and the decision sciences profession. These results also show the process of alignment, agility, accuracy, and adaptability is taking shape and is helpful in the future growth of DSI.

• DSI strategic goals and objectives: The DSI Board worked hard on creating and approving a five-year strategic plan that ensure DSI policies and procedures are aligned, agile and accurate. This five-year strategic plan is available for review on the DSI website and outlines the strategic goals with their priorities, identifies energy and resources required, improves efficiencies and effectiveness of operations, and sets measures and performance metrics. The plan includes both short and long-term goals and creates a clearly defined roadmap with key performance indicators for incoming and future DSI leadership over that five-year period.

• DSI constitution revisions: The revised constitution updates and accurately aligns various goals, policies, and processes used at DSI. It also is adaptive and timely, and forward-looking to create opportunities for future growth. This revised DSI constitution (1) reduces the possibility of conflicting information in various documents by (1) merging the Constitution and the Bylaws; (2) creates flexibility and agility in making and implementing decisions; (3) implements the decisions made by the recent three DSI Board of Directors; and (4) streamlines several processes to remove redundancy and enhance membership value. This draft constitution, which we briefly discussed at the DSI General Body meeting in Washington DC, is with a Georgia attorney who is in the process of making recommendations to bring it into the 21st century and align better with current non-profit standards and practices. As soon as the DSI Board has received and reviewed his comments, we will send the revised constitution (whatever form it takes) to the membership for ratification. Following the ratification of the revised constitution, we will complete and approve the revision and update of the Policies and Procedures to align with the revised Constitution. We will share these results with DSI members as soon as these processes are completed.

• DSI colleges: We have developed a structure and procedures to implement the concept of DSI colleges as focused groups to serve the common interests of the subgroups of DSI members.”
establishing a few colleges that the members find appropriate and timely.

- **DSI publications**: As I shared in my earlier communication, to be adaptive and responsive to ever-changing needs requires us to improve the quality of our publications. Therefore, the Board of Directors and the editor-in-chiefs of DSI publications have been working hard to prepare plans to enhance the quality of the publications and perhaps clarify and redefine the scope and editorial structure of the publications. During this process, the DSI Board appointed Professor Mark Ferguson as co-editor-in-Chief of our flagship Journal, Decision Sciences (DSJ) to work with the current editor-in-chief Cheri Speier-Pero to enhance the scope of DSJ and to improve its quality and reputation. Efforts are underway to develop plans and activities for including our Decision Sciences journal in at least one of the three indices: Financial Times, Business Week, and UT Dallas List.

- **Information technology systems**: To be agile, accurate, accessible and service-oriented, we have implemented a restructured website, an improved member management system, and a new conference management system. I am happy to report that these new systems enable us to register participants for the DSI annual conference and DSI regional conferences. Further system implementations include searchable membership directory and expanded membership resources. We can now capture the needed data that can define and delineate our broad member base, including the member’s affiliation with a specific region and their areas of interest.

- **Enhancing accounting processes and program**: In order to be financially responsible and more easily comply with the legal requirements of our non-profit 501(c)(3) status, the Home Office has completed its move to QuickBooks as its new accounting system. This move has provided DSI with a more cost-effective system that allows for process streamlining and an increased flexibility in reporting. The additional ability to interface with other systems and easier financial management and tracking has enabled the Home Office to serve the needs of the DSI regions much more effectively and efficiently. As a result, we are able to get more timely and accurate accounting information about various DSI activities. In addition, the auditing process now takes less time and ensures accuracy.

- **Ensuring that DSI remains a service organization**: During the process of revising the DSI constitution and preparing the proposed strategic plan, we reinforced the basic core value of DSI as a service organization. Our institute is committed to serving its members and the decision sciences profession. Therefore, in all its actions, the DSI Board and Home Office remain committed to ensuring that DSI remains a service organization and enhances its capabilities and activities to enhance the effective and efficient service it provides in as flexible a manner as possible. Our proposed revisions of the DSI constitution, policies and procedures, and strategic plans ensure that this core DSI value is preserved. We are very conscious and explicit about this core value in all our future work.

- **Implementing decisions**: During 2017-2018, we placed high priority in implementing decisions in addition to making decisions. Thus, for example, we implemented the decision to enable non-DSI members to participate in regional DSI conferences by paying a higher conference registration fee. We also implemented several decisions to enhance the services provided by DSI Home Office. While we made good strides in implementing decision, more work lies ahead in this area. Knowing the incoming President, President-Elect, and the DSI Board, I am confident that we will continue these efforts in the future and will excel at implementing the decisions made to better serve our members and the decision sciences profession. Further, we will ensure the consistency and
continuity of decisions and actions of various DSI Boards in the future.

• **Expanded role of regions:** As DSI President-Elect and President, I visited various regional conferences and had discussions with the regional officers and board of directors. It became quite clear that regions are an integral part of DSI and provide an essential outreach, one of the important and significant core values to our members and the decision sciences profession. During these discussions, it also became evident that the regions play an essential and significant role in reaching out to those members who, in addition to sharing their research results, are primarily interested in instructional innovations and teaching pedagogy. I am happy to report that some regional conferences in 2018 are including several innovative and expanded workshops and plenary sessions that provided expanded coverage of teaching and research horizons to the conference participants. This new and expanded role of DSI regions is useful in propagating the One DSI to our members and the profession.

• **Improving communications with members:** As I reported earlier, during my visits to the regional conferences, I also heard the need for expanded and improved communications with members. Expanding the President’s letter in the Decision Line is one effort to enhance communication and information flow to our members. In addition, the Board of Directors is working on strategies and tactics to enhance and improve communications with members. In this regard, we would like to hear ideas for (a) the types of communications needed; (b) the mode of communications; and (c) the frequency of such communications. With serving our members better, we have appointed an ad-hoc committee to investigate the use of social media. Working together, we can improve and enhance the quality and quantity of communications needed for us to be effective and efficient in achieving the DSI strategic goals.

### SCIENCE PROFESSION

I would like to share my vision for our profession and its contribution to the world. Since its inception, DSI academicians and practitioners have participated in solving hard and complex organizational and technical problems at the firm and the industry level. During the past century and the beginning of this century, we can be proud of our accomplishments to enhance industrial productivity, quality of life, and working conditions in almost all industry government sectors. In doing so, we have contributed at various levels within a firm, from the shop floor to the executive board. However, it is time for us to widen the scope of the clientele we serve. In the emerging world, several other problem areas deserve our attention and our expertise. Therefore, I suggest that we widen our horizons to include society as a unit requiring the solution of problems utilizing our expertise and collective abilities. Thus, for example, what does it take to manage natural disasters like Hurricanes Katrina? What can we contribute to efforts in saving lives and minimizing disruptions? How can we contribute to mitigate the spread of an epidemic? How can we avoid the upcoming world crisis in electric power availability? How can we make our governments be more responsive and efficient? Perhaps it is time for us to initiate debate as to what our role in the emerging world is. Perhaps we can use some sessions at future DSI conferences and publications to develop such themes. Thus, while we work on the current initiatives to their completion and implementation, I suggest that efforts in this direction are worthy of consideration.

### AN INVITATION TO PARTICIPATE IN DSI AND THE ANNUAL CONFERENCE IN CHICAGO

From the brief description of various activities and accomplishments from the last year, it is clear that while DSI has become a premier institute and has accomplished a lot, much work is still to be done to maintain and enhance contributions that DSI can make to its members and profession. As I stated
earlier, successful completion of DSI activities depends on the fullest extent of active participation in carrying out these works by its members. DSI is our institute. It was created by us—for us, and hence, it is up to us to enable it to contribute to our professional growth and career enhancements. Therefore, I once again invite everyone to get involved in various DSI activities by presenting papers at the conferences, publishing in our journals, serving on various committees and on editorial boards, holding board positions, persuading and nominating our members for various volunteer positions, voting in DSI elections, and participating in various networking opportunities including being mentored or mentoring students and junior faculty members. This will ensure that DSI is aligned, agile, accurate, accessible, and adaptable for every one of us.

In this regard, I share my own experience of participating in professional and academic societies. Over the fifty-plus years of my professional and academic career, I have participated and volunteered for various activities and offices (elected and appointed) in several associations like DSI. Each time I have undertaken a volunteer task with various societies, I have created more value for myself than the effort I had spent in doing the task. In addition, I contribute to the effort to assure that our future is bright and full of excitement. With this experience, I invite each of our members to jointly complete various activities that will create value for each of us and will enhance our society and profession. There is ample variety of activities and each one of us can participate in doing whatever we like and value the most.

One way to ensure your participation is to ensure that you plan on participating and contributing (perhaps by submitting a paper for presentation) to the 2018 Annual DSI Conference in Chicago that promises to be high quality and relevance. Detailed information can be found at https://decisionsciences.org/annual-meetings/national-dsi/

**A VOTE OF THANKS**

Earlier in the President’s letters, I stated that I have been fortunate to work with highly committed, dedicated, able, and willing people to do whatever was needed to move DSI to the next level of its evolution. Without their support, participation, and encouragement, it would not have been possible for us to make DSI the premier society for our profession. I appreciate their support and thank them personally for the hard work they have done and continue to do. These individuals (too many to mention by name) include all those who have served DSI in various capacities, as Presidents, board members, committee chairs and members, conference chairs, track chairs, and members of program committees, presenters, reviewers, and discussants at sessions, volunteers at various DSI events, members our great institute and profession, the able staff of DSI Home Office under the leadership of our Executive Director, Vivian Landrum, the College Dean Jason Greene, Department Chair Fan Tseng, and faculty members in the College of Business at the University of Alabama in Huntsville. To each one of these colleagues and friends, I express my heartfelt thanks and congratulate them on the job well done. We could not have succeeded without them and their excellent work and support.

*Keep up the good work!!!!*

Jatinder (Jeet) N. D. Gupta
DSI President
College of Business
University of Alabama in Huntsville
There is no question that Big Data (BD) holds great potential for a wide range of benefits for individuals, businesses, government, healthcare, and society in general. In the advent of the digital age, BD has helped facilitate urban planning, national security, college admissions, hiring or dating, just to mention a few examples. For businesses, big data offers opportunities to achieve competitive advantage through business intelligence, improved decision-making and innovation for new products and tailored services.

Big Data has disrupted the traditional information value chain due to numerous new sources of data (e.g., SNS), new ways of collecting data (e.g., smart sensors), and more recently, much advanced analytics such as artificial intelligence and deep learning. Consequently, BD has received a significant amount of attention by researchers and practitioners (Abbasi et al., 2016). However, the focus has been mostly on the technical aspects of BD, including data security, but not enough on people, their social and institutional environments. One area that is increasingly and urgently demanding particular attention is privacy. Data security and privacy is considered by experts as one of the top three issues related to data analytics, along with data quality and new enabling technologies, such as machine learning and AI (Davis, 2018). While most of organizations have focused on security, privacy is becoming a top concern, particularly because of the new EU’s General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) privacy law going into effect on 25th of May, 2018. While the new rules come from Europe, they impact organizations globally.
The main issue of BD is that its goals and those of privacy are diametrically opposed: BD relies (and therefore, aims) on collection, storage, sharing, and analysis of data; while privacy aims at protecting this data, thus, attempts to minimize most of these processes. While individuals, organizations, and governments are concerned about data privacy, yet they seek the benefits that can be acquired from BD analytics, which may rely on invasion of privacy. This phenomenon has been referred to as the ‘privacy paradox’.

INFORMATION PRIVACY

There have been several definitions and conceptualization of information privacy. A well-known information privacy measure in IS research is the Concern for Information Privacy (CFIP) (Smith et al., 1996). This measure consists of four dimensions of privacy concerns: collection, secondary use, access, and errors. ‘Collection’ represents the concern that an excessive amount of data is being collected and stored by organizations. ‘Secondary use’ refers to concern that data that is collected for one purpose is being used for another purpose. The measure further distinguishes between concerns about internal and external secondary use. ‘Errors’ refer to concern about protection measures against accidental and deliberate errors in data handling. ‘Access’ is about the concern that data is readily available to people who are not properly authorized to access the data. These dimensions, identified more than two decades ago, hold true and have become even more critical in the context of BD, most worrisome being collection and secondary use.

With the wide spread use of networked and web-based technologies, other measures of privacy concerns were developed. Malhotra et al. (2004) introduced Internet User’s Information Privacy Concerns (IUIPC). This measure includes three dimensions: control, awareness, and collection. Control refers to the degree at which the individual has control over personal information. Awareness represents the degree to which a consumer is concerned about his/her awareness of organizational information privacy practices. Collection is the degree to which a person is concerned about the amount of individual-specific data that others possess relative to the benefits received. James et al. (2016) introduced the concept of Interpersonal Privacy Identity (IPI) which comprises the information belief and interaction control belief, in other words, the right to control what information is released and to whom. More recently, Kayhan (2016) distinguished between situational privacy and dispositional privacy. Situational privacy concern refers to the concern related to a specific online provider, and varies depending on the context of the interaction. Dispositional privacy concern depends on the individual personality characteristics and their overall concern about privacy. Using the above measurements, significant research has indicated that privacy concerns affect user intention to use technology, thus it is very important to be dealt with.

We are at the beginning of new era of BD: that of artificial intelligence (AI). Analytics tools utilizing machine learning are
precursors of tomorrow’s super-intelligent systems, and ultimately of “general AI”, machines that will perform the full range of human cognitive tasks. AI is contributing to societal transformational “3000 times the impact” of the Industrial Revolution (The Economist, 2016). The following breakthroughs are making significant impact on: data collection and aggregation - IoT, ubiquitous devices, and cloud networking; data processing – better business intelligence (BI) and algorithms (AI and especially its subsidiaries, machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL)); and computing power – better and faster new processors, and cloud and edge computing. However, new technologies bring new challenges. BD technologies advance faster than the chain of systems that preserve information security and privacy, which includes legislation, policies, and processes (Lowry et al., 2017).

RISK IN BIG DATA MANAGEMENT

In a world where news of security breaches or data privacy violations make frequent headlines, it is not surprising that people are concerned or have ‘data anxiety’ (Pink et al., 2018). This anxiety is related to how people experience the uncertainty about data accuracy, ownership, and (mis)usage.

Organizations have always collected and analyzed customer data in an effort to better understand them. What has changed now is that the technology to collect, store and analyze this data has advanced. While in principle individuals have a choice on whether to disclose or not their personal information, in practice, in the era of smart devices, e-commerce, and social platforms, there is not much choice. Much of users’ data is collected without users being aware of it, not only as they use digital devices, but also as they move through public and personal spaces (Barton et al., 2017). This tracking typically takes place without the informed consent of the user. Information about browsing, games, payment, geo-locations, etc. are being collected without users’ awareness and consent. To use “free” services like Facebook, Google, Instagram or Snapchat, one “pays” with some identifiable information they need to disclose and the large amount of data he/she creates using them. Another crucial feature of modern big data analytics is the concept of collective privacy (Hernstruwer, 2017). Individual users of online services have the right to disclose as much personal information as they like. However, due to the ties among users in social networking sites, for example, people who willingly reveal about themselves increase the chances of disclosing personal information about other users regardless of the other users’ consent.

Furthermore, even though individuals may have consented to secondary use of data, the ways this data could be used is not transparent and seem to grow exponentially. In fact, it is more likely that an individual would have not reasonably consented to the use of data or is not aware of a specific new data analysis. BD tools and techniques seem to be shrouded in secrecy, while they are used to collect all kinds of private data, something Richards and King (2013) refer to as Transparency Paradox.

People’s personal characteristics are of great interest to businesses to better target their promotional campaigns at
right market segments. However, when data about these characteristics cannot be directly collected from individuals, inferences could be made using online data, such as web browsing behavior. For example, these analyses could infer probability of a person being a female, introverted, drug user, etc. BD is enabling the creation of very detailed and rich profiles of individuals. Assumptions made based on BD analytics are especially of great concern.

Another benefits of BD is helping businesses better understand their customers and provide new value through customized products and services. This could be supported by predictive analytics, one of the types of BD analytics. Predictive analytics could be further broken down into preferential predictions (such as recommendation systems used by Amazon or Netflix) and preemptive predictions (Kerr and Earle, 2013). Preemptive predictions, however, used to diminish a person’s range of future options, can be unfair and discriminatory. Even though governments and corporations use BD to preempt activities in order to reduce risk, this is often done with little or no transparency or accountability. For example, loan companies use algorithms to determine interest rates for clients with little or no credit history to decide who is at risk for default. Orbitz, the travel website, shows higher priced deals to customers searching from Apple computers than those from PCs. Orbitz assumed Apple computer owners are willing or able to pay more. In all these cases, individuals are unable to observe and respond to information gathered or assumptions made about them.

BD relies on a tightly interconnected infrastructure of technologies and organizations.

Businesses share or sell the data they own to their partners/vendors or to data brokers. Data brokers monetize data by aggregating information from multiple sources. This clearly raises concern about protecting customer data. In analyzing nine large data brokers, the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) found that the data broker industry was a complex and multi-layered industry, collecting data from both public and private sectors (Huerta and Jensen, 2017). In addition, data brokers in question acquired data from other data brokers, creating a complex chain of custody. One of the brokers analyzed had compiled profiles containing 3,000 data points on every U.S. consumer. Another way organizations are connected in the context of data is through their outsourcing of data services. Due to their massive size, BD often cannot be stored on traditional corporate servers causing organizations to outsource their data storage and processes to third parties. This dependency significantly increases the potential for security and privacy leaks.

Given this connectivity, it has even been suggested that BD should be considered an industry, not a technology (Martin, 2015). The separate and distinct firms in the BD industry work through agreements to produce a product (BD) for customers. The privacy implication here is that customer data protection depends not only on the privacy practices of the company that initially collected the data, but also on data collection practices of other participants in the BD industry (Martin, 2015). For example, when Facebook seeks to use information from data brokers such as

“BD often cannot be stored on traditional corporate servers causing organizations to outsource their data storage and processes to third parties.”
as Acxiom and Datalogix, it should worry about their data collection methods.

Another aspect of BD risk management is data veracity or quality. Poor quality may come from inaccuracies in the data from the manner in which the data was collected, data manipulation, or users providing purposefully incorrect data. Incorrect outcome of BD analysis could impact people’s lives. Consequences could be: denied credit, losing jobs, harsher or unfair judicial punishment, increased insurance cost or actual financial loss, etc. The consequences will have negative impact not just to individuals but to organizations and society at large.

DEALING WITH PRIVACY IN BIG DATA

Traditionally, privacy issues have been dealt using two approaches: informed consent and anonymity. However, applicability of these two approaches have become limited in the context of BD. ‘Informed consent’, which involves notice and choice, has not been very effective to begin with, even before the BD era. Research has shown that users do not read lengthy privacy policies or End User Agreements. Efforts to simplify these disclosures would lead to loss of fidelity, omission of necessary details to explain information practices. This has been referred to as the “transparency paradox” (Barocas and Nissenbaum, 2014). Notice and choice have become even more problematic in the era of BD, because it is difficult to foresee future uses of the data collected today: data could be shared, sold and analyzed for secondary uses unrelated to their original purpose of collection; organizations cannot realistically anticipate what the data will reveal until after intensive data analysis is complete. In other words, even if the customer read and understood the privacy policy, the policy may be incomplete.

A second approach to deal with privacy, more technical in nature, is data anonymity or de-identification. This involves removing any personally identifiable information while retaining the research utility of the data. In the era of AI and ML, creating data ecosystems is of the utmost importance for scientific discoveries (such as preventing or curing diseases), smart cities, and safe world. De-identification is an obvious response to privacy concerns related to BD. In fact, the proposition that BD does not include any personally identifiable data is one of the common arguments for dismissal of privacy concerns related to BD. However, experts warn that this is a dated concept (Hutnik and Drye, 2017). When data from various sources are combined, new personal information is created, or data is re-identified. Technological advances support combining disparate pieces of data that could lead the customer identification even if the individual pieces of data are not personally identifiable. For example, even if location-based data collected through mobile devices may not be considered personally identifiable, when combined with other data, it could create an identifiable profile of a user.

Other technical mechanisms to deal with BD privacy have been developed in recent years. They can be grouped based on the stages of the BD life cycle: data generation, storage, and processing (Jain et al., 2016). In the data generation phase, access restriction as well as falsifying data techniques are used. In data stor-
age phase, privacy protections are based mainly on encryption procedures. In the data processing phase, Privacy Preserving Data Publishing (PPDP), anonymization techniques such as generalization and suppression, are utilized to protect the privacy of data.

GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS

Privacy regulations around the world vary from country to country. At one end of the spectrum, countries like China and Thailand lack many of the foundational regulations found in most other countries (Shey and Iannapollo, 2017). On the other end are the European countries, with a deep commitment to protecting individuals’ right to data privacy. The EU’s GDPR, a substitute (on 25th May, 2018) for the EU Data Protection Directive is expected to provide users more control over the ways that their personal information is collected and used. Within Europe, GDPR expands the data protection scope so that it applies to anyone or any organization that collects and processes information related to EU citizens, no matter where they are based or where the data is stored (EUGDPR, 2018). GDPR also changes the definition of personal data. For example, it will include IP addresses or cookies. Based on the new regulation, organizations that store and analyze large amounts of data will be required to have a data protection office. Organizations need to keep records of all personal data, be able to prove that consent was given, and show where the data is going, what it is being used for, and how it is being protected. It even has a vague (on purpose, as it is still technically hard to do) provision for “right to explanation” to the consumers for automated decision-making (by AI) (Porup, 2018). Penalties for not complying with the regulations are substantially increased.

There has always been a gap in terms of privacy regulations between US and EU. This has important implications for US companies. Many US firms depend on access to and use of the personal information of EU citizens to provide data-driven services on the continent. Cross-border information flows represent the fastest growing component of trade in both EU and the US (Shwartz, 2017). However, there have always been concerns on the EU side about insufficient privacy protection in the US. The European data protection system centers itself around the data subject as a bearer of rights. It views data privacy as part of its legal culture of fundamental rights, while the US anchors its information privacy law in the marketplace (Shwartz, 2017). To bridge the gap between EU and the US, the Safe Harbour Agreement was introduced in 1999. This agreement would allow US companies to handle personal data of Europeans as long as they provided data protection for this subset of data that was similar to EU standards. However, in 2015, this agreement was voided in light of events such as Snowden leaks that put doubt into the adequacy of data protection in the US. A new agreement ‘EU-US Privacy Shield’ took place in August 2016. Privacy Shield requires ‘opt-in’ before processing sensitive data of EU customer. In addition, if US companies want to use data for other purposes than its original purpose of collection, they have to collect a new consent from data subjects. Privacy Shield also places restrictions on the transfer of such data to third parties.

“To bridge the gap between EU and the US, the Safe Harbour Agreement was introduced in 1999.”
BIG DATA PRIVACY IN THE DIGITAL AGE

Today businesses operate in the digital era. The old economic model within the national boundary has given way to the new paradigm of the networked global economy where capitalism can flourish without capital (Haskel and Westlake, 2017). The accelerating boundary-less ecosystem, including global supply chains and innovation alliances, and powered by advanced technologies, has been created. In this dynamic environment, organizations must be agile, flexible, resilient, absorptive, speedy, and innovative to survive and prosper. Thus, digitalization and disruptive smart innovations have become imperative for organizational transformation. Advances in automation, BD and analytics, AI, IoT, cloud and edge computing, have facilitated the creation of smart automobiles, smart homes, smart factories (Industry 4.0), smart organizations, smart cities, smart infrastructures, smart countries, and the aspiration of a smart future (Lee and Trimi, 2017).

The innovation system is no longer an isolated island of R&D of an organization. The new innovation paradigm advocates co-innovation (Lee et al., 2012) where new ideas come from many different sources: outside-in (including customers, suppliers, partners, and even competitors), inside-out (alliances, licensing, etc.), collective intelligence (open innovation, open source, crowd sourcing, data ecosystems), and convergence of technologies. BD is what is driving the innovation system. The BD system is an organic living system that can sense the pulse of the environment, analyzes relevant data to extract useful information for strategic decisions, and evaluate the performance of operational systems. The BD system must utilize the most advanced available technologies to keep the system humming: including smart sensors, Internet of Things (IoT), Internet of Brains (IoB), machine learning, 3-D technologies, blockchain technologies, cloud computing, and the like. Then, to harmonize these technologies to support the BD system, a data-friendly artificial intelligence (AI) ecosystem must be developed for discoveries, innovations, on-demand delivery of appropriate information and automation of management decision making.

The antagonistic dichotomy of open/share-data vs. closed/private-data has become bigger and more important than ever to resolve. Privacy and security issues are “wicked problems” that involve conflicting values of many stakeholders (Lowry et al., 2017) and lie at the intersection of individual, organizational, technological, legal, and ethical implications. Opening government data spurs private-sector innovation; opening private-sector data enhances government capability to provide security and improve the welfare of citizens; collecting information from individual and broadly sharing it help new discoveries, innovations, betterment of life. Privacy, however, is a very important right of individuals. Regulations are still evolving, and are globally diverse. There is no international cooperation for data (collection, access, usage) regulation and processing (algorithms – sharing advances and also regulating/controlling bad use of data and AI, such as AI weaponry). Governments should take care of safety of their citizens, while respecting citizens’ privacy and not violating laws and democratic rules. Organizations should not only comply with multi-countries privacy regulations, but also meet customer expec-
To conclude, big data, collected and shared properly, and used by sophisticated AI, will create enormous opportunities, innovations, and insights that will solve a large number of problems that will have great scientific, economic and social impact. Integration of siloed data across platforms, organizations, cities, and countries can really bring enormous benefits to the welfare of society. Developing a smart “Deep BD” system supported by AI, capable of managing the wicked problems, should be the goal.

REFERENCES


The following articles were published in the Decision Science Journal 49(1). The articles in this issue reflect the breadth, from both a methodology and problem domain, that reflect the diverse interests in our community.

**CAN SERVICE CLIMATE DETRACT FROM EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE? THE ROLE OF EXPERIENCE IN OPTIMIZING SATISFACTION AND PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES**

*Colin B. Gabler, Adam Rapp, Robert Glenn Richey Jr., and Frank G. Adams*

In this research, we test the curvilinear relationships between service climate perceptions and two employee performance outcomes. Specifically, we propose that while service climate can be beneficial, high levels can actually be detrimental to customer satisfaction and sales performance. Additionally, we propose that a global assessment of employee experience that captures knowledge, skills, and abilities, or KSAs, moderates these curvilinear relationships by providing a means to balance outcome goals. We test our theory using data obtained from 312 employees in a service setting, which we pair with their managers' assessments of their sales performance as well as satisfaction ratings from their customers. Our results reveal two things: (1) an inverted U-shaped relationship between service climate and sales performance and (2) the level of experience moderates the relationship. These findings suggest that more experienced employees are better able to adjust behaviors to achieve high levels of performance than less experienced employees. Based on these results, we offer theoretical implications and applications for managerial practice.

**“DO AS I DO AND NOT AS I SAY”: EXPLORING PRICE-ORIENTED MAVERICK BUYING DURING SUPPLIER SELECTION**

*Marc A. Scott, Gerard Burke, and Joseph Szmerekovsky*

This article empirically examines the occurrence of price-oriented maverick buying (MB) during supplier selection, in a direct purchasing process context. Drawing on agency theory, maverick buying, and total cost of ownership (TCO) literature, the statistically significant existence of price-oriented MB is investigated and the purchasing manager (PM)-related factors that influence such noncompliant behavior are determined. A discrete choice experiment is designed to simulate a TCO-based supplier selection process in which an established purchasing framework agreement stipulates PMs not necessarily be price-oriented (i.e., select suppliers primarily based on lowest price), and then models PM choice behavior in the supplier selection process (SSP), utilizing a conditional logit model (CLM) to determine PM compliance to the established purchasing framework agreement and identify if price-oriented MB exists. Statistical tests utilizing comprehensive primary and secondary data are then conducted to determine if correlational relationships exist between PM-related factors and PM price-orientation. Results indicate that three PM-related factors bear a significant correlational relationship to PM price-orientation.

**LEADERSHIP, DOMINANCE, AND PREEMINENCE IN A CHANNEL STRUCTURE WITH A COMMON RETAILER**

*Fang Fang, Haresh Gurnani, and Harihara Prasad Natarajan*

As firms embrace collaborative principles, partners of varying strengths and standing are coming together to deliver products effectively to consumers. In a two-tier collaborative channel, a partner’s relative standing is manifest in the order in which wholesale and retail prices are determined; in turn, standing influences a partner’s ability to achieve profits. We propose a framework, based on two factors that specify the strength of partners across channel tiers (channel leadership) and within a tier (echelon...
We aim to design an appropriate sourcing mechanism with information asymmetry in a supply chain with one manufacturer and multiple suppliers subject to an emissions trading scheme. The manufacturer purchases raw materials from suppliers, who hold private information regarding the green degree—that is, the unit emission rates—of their raw materials. An appropriate strategy must be adopted by the manufacturer for the contract design, including a series of payments and the order quantities; the suppliers are subsequently invited to bid for the contracts. The basic model is formulated to assist the manufacturer in designing a reasonable contract for a single supplier. The characteristics of the optimal order quantity and payoff functions of both the manufacturer and supplier are analyzed. A competitive procurement scenario with multiple suppliers is also discussed. With respect to the diversity of auctions, three different auction types are analyzed, including a green degree auction, a price auction with emissions targets, and a performance-based auction. In addition, an efficient emissions trading policy is established to guide manufacturers regarding how to balance their emission allowances based on the optimal order quantities. Our approach provides an effective decision support system for both the manufacturer and suppliers.

**COMPETITION UNDER DISECONOMIES OF SCALE: THE ROLE OF SUBCONTRACTING AND SINGLE-SOURCING COMMITMENT**

*Lusheng Shao*

This article studies a supplier competition model in situations with flexible resources where suppliers face diseconomies of scale. Under such a situation, it is generally believed that a buyer may split an order across different suppliers; and even if the buyer chooses only one supplier, the winning supplier may subcontract part of the work to the others. My results, however, show that the buyer always prefers to commit to single-sourcing prior to running a procurement auction. This is because such commitment eliminates the “assurance” of getting a positive order from the buyer, thus intensifying supplier competition. I also find that subcontracting may be beneficial (detrimental) to the buyer if the subcontract is determined by the winning (losing) supplier of the bidding game. Finally, I show that, for the case with linear costs, the buyer is always better off when subcontracting is considered.
SIMULATION-BASED OPTIMIZATION OF CAPACITATED ASSEMBLY SYSTEMS UNDER BETA-SERVICE LEVEL CONSTRAINTS
Stefan Woerner, Marco Laumanns, and Stephan M. Wagner

We study a class of capacitated assembly systems operated by base-stock policies and address the problem of finding base-stock levels that minimize holding costs under beta-service level (fill rate) constraints over an infinite horizon. To solve this non-convex constrained optimization problem, we develop a new simulation-based optimization approach using the constrained level method (CLM) and infinitesimal perturbation analysis. The key idea of the algorithm is a novel family of convex approximations of the beta-service level, which is iteratively refined during the course of the algorithm. The algorithm can easily handle integrality requirements for the base-stock levels by combining the CLM with a cutting plane approach without significantly increasing the solution time on typical instances. We apply our approach to a comprehensive multi-echelon assembly benchmark system from the literature and study the algorithm’s behavior for different target service levels, capacity configurations, and simulation horizons. A comparison with a state-of-the-art interior point algorithm shows that for realistic capacity constraints, our algorithm is on average 8%–20% better. Compared with the guaranteed service model, our approach reduces costs by 10%–15% while keeping the same service level.

FROM THE EDITOR


Vivian Landrum, DSI Executive Director, provides an update from the Home Office. She informs us that DSI has contracted with Growth Zone (GZ), provider of a new member management system. This system enables regions to effectively and efficiently use this software to run registration for their annual conference. In addition, Vivian reports that the new five year strategic plan that has been drafted and approved will be employed during the next five years. The new constitution is under review by an expert attorney specializing in non-profit associations to assure that our constitution is up to date and meets the current laws and practice.

Nominations are being accepted now for the Carol J. Latta Memorial Award for Outstanding Early Career Scholar. Eligibility criteria, deadline and submission information are offered. Please nominate any DSI member who is in the early stages of their profession and is already an active member of DSI. Deadline for nomination is August 31, 2018. The rest of the issue is devoted to the regional news including call for paper, announcements from the region and regional conference recap.

I encourage you, our reader, to share your opinions, ideas with us by writing and sending it to me at mebrahimpour@uri.edu.

I am looking forward to reading your articles for inclusion in Decision Line.

Maling Ebrahimpour, PhD
Editor
College of Business
The University of Rhode Island
TEACHING BRIEFS

“TEACHING CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE QUALITY AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE IN RETAIL MANAGEMENT: A ROLE PLAYING GAME USING CHINESE PUZZLE ‘TANGRAM’”
Rik Paul and Abhilash Ponnam

Abstract: Services are intangible in nature. For a marketing educator, it is difficult to illustrate to students the means of creating favorable customer experiences through standard lectures or case studies in a services context, considering this intangibility property. This paper describes a simple role playing game that uses the Chinese puzzle ‘Tangram’ to help students comprehend how customer experiences are shaped within and beyond a service setting. In the game, the class gets divided into two groups, individual customers and furniture retailer teams. The two groups interact with each other in buying and selling modular furniture. At the end of the game, customers share qualitative and quantitative feedback on their retailer experience, and the retailer team which obtains a high score on profitability as well as customer experience quality is declared as the winner. The debriefing session that follows the game lets students share their experiences and key learnings. The game provides the students with a scope to learn and explore the importance of customer experience quality without direct supervision from the instructor. This game requires minimal physical infrastructure. The materials required to conduct this game are easily available in departmental stores, and the monetary cost involved in deploying this game is nominal.

“TEACHING LINE BALANCING THROUGH ACTIVE AND BLENDED LEARNING”
Tiffany Bayley and Ada Hurst

Abstract: The design of balanced assembly lines, especially when considering workforce, material, and cycle time factors, is an important managerial decision-making activity in manufacturing firms. Numerous active learning activities are available to assist instructors in teaching assembly line balancing to students. While effective in improving student engagement, they require considerable planning and expense on the part of instructors, and they may be difficult to implement in inflexible teaching spaces and lecture-oriented curricula. We present a new approach to teaching line balancing using online videos depicting an assembly process. Students design an assembly line by determining themselves how to separate and time tasks, rather than by modifying an existing configuration. To save valuable classroom time, students complete a portion of the activity outside of class. This blended learning approach allows for all students to be engaged in the activity, both in and out of class. Furthermore, a controlled study showed that compared to the traditional lecture format, it better equips students to address less tangible aspects of line balancing, such as ergonomic and workforce factors, material handling considerations, and changing cycle time. With the online content for this activity developed and available, other instructors can easily implement this approach within their courses.
“EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING FOR LOGISTICS AND SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: USING AN SAP ERP SOFTWARE SIMULATION”
Mark Angolia and Leslie Pagliari

Abstract: This teaching brief describes a three-echelon supply chain simulation that involves complex decision making in a dynamic environment. Using a team-based logistics simulation operating on a live commercial-software application (SAP ERP) as a foundation, a supplemental exercise is proposed for deeper learning of transportation and logistics aspects of supply chain management. Sales and operations planning is used during four simulated months to develop detailed procurement strategies and logistics plans to enhance the baseline supply chain management (SCM) concepts of inventory control and forecasting in a distribution network. Transportation planning and scheduling complexity is introduced as students manage freight to conform to motor carrier weight regulations. The combination of commercial software and extensive real-world planning allows students to assimilate numerous SCM concepts in a realistic environment. Student opinion survey data shows that students are highly engaged by the detailed nature of the simulation, which they concluded aided their conceptual learning. Additionally, the inclusion of the SAP ERP commercial software becomes a competitive advantage during collegiate recruiting by potential employers.

EMPIRICAL RESEARCH

“EXAMINING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MATH SELF-EFFICACY AND STATISTICS MOTIVATION IN THE INTRODUCTORY BUSINESS STATISTICS COURSE: SELF-COMPASSION AS A MEDIATOR”
Leslie Ramos Salazar

Abstract: Because business statistics students often experience a lack of statistical motivation, business educators need to understand the factors that influence students’ motivation levels in undergraduate-level business statistics courses. The purpose of this study was to examine the role of math self-efficacy and self-compassion on students’ motivation levels and the sex differences among the main variables. The regression results showed that math self-efficacy was a positive predictor of statistics motivation. Self-compassion was also found to be a partial mediator of the relationship between math self-efficacy and statistics motivation. Gender differences were also found in business students’ math self-efficacy, self-compassion, and statistics motivation.
DECISION SCIENCES INSTITUTE ELECTION RESULTS

By Vivian Landrum, Executive Director

The Decision Sciences Institute holds Board member elections every year, following an open recommendation and nominations process. Once names are received by the Nominating Committee, they have the difficult task of narrowing down the slate to just two or three candidates for each open position. DSI Board members serve for a term of two years, with the exception of the President-Elect who will serve a one-year term before serving as President.

After a 30-day voting time frame via a secure email voting system, the DSI membership made their decision on who would serve on the 2018-2019 Board and lead the Institute into a new decade. Our thanks to those who volunteered to commit themselves to a higher level of participation, and to those who participated in the election process.

Please join me in welcoming the following to the DSI 2018-2020 Board of Directors:

President-Elect
Janet Hartley, Bowling Green State University

VP Finance
Alan Mackelprang, Georgia Southern University

VP Global Activities
Wendy Tate, University of Tennessee-Knoxville

VP Professional Development
Sriram Narayanan, Michigan State University

VP Member Services
Shanan Gibson, Texas A&M University

VP European Division
Carmela di Mauro, Università di Catania

They will join the current Board members continuing on for one more year:

President as of April 1, 2018
Johnny Rungtusanatham, The Ohio State University

Past President
Jatinder (Jeet) Gupta, University of Alabama – Huntsville

Secretary
Anand Nair, Michigan State University

VP Americas Division
Vijay R. Kannan, Utah State University

VP Asia-Pacific Division
Ravi Kuma Jain, Symbiosis Institute of Business Management – India

VP Marketing
Sri Talluri, Michigan State University

VP Publications
Jennifer Blackhurst, University of Iowa

VP Technology
Natalie Simpson, State University of New York at Buffalo
MAKE PLANS NOW TO ATTEND DSI 2018 ANNUAL MEETING

Subodha Kumar
DSI 2018 Program Chair

Chicago conjures images of amazing design and architecture. Now, as in the past, Chicago has always looked at the future, and futuristic monuments such as the Cloud Gate have become icons of this great city. Likewise, Chicago inspires us to reflect on how to design and manage future global operations in the emerging data and analytics driven business world.

Digital production technologies and IT-enabled management processes generate enormous amounts of data that hold the promise to significantly improve operational capabilities and long-run performance of businesses. Businesses that leverage data and decision analytics driven technologies can transform global operations, by making control and coordination cheaper and faster. Data and decision analytics driven technologies can also enable greater flexibility and customization, which may transform the geography of global operations.

We cordially invite you to join DSI, the global community of scholars in the decision sciences, in debating these key issues for the future of business. Come and share your views with experts from the six continents!

SUBMISSIONS ARE NOW BEING ACCEPTED

DSI is now using Ex Ordo as our conference management system, therefore even if you have submitted to a DSI conference in the past, you will need to create a new account in order to submit this year. Please visit https://decisionsciences.org/annual-meetings/national-dsi/ for detailed submission information.

DEADLINES

Full Paper Deadline: May 30th 2018
Abstract Deadline: June 15th 2018
Panel Proposal Deadline: June 15th 2018
Workshop Proposal Deadline: June 15th 2018
Award Competition Deadline: June 15th 2018

Tracks
We would like to invite full papers, abstracts, and panel proposal submissions focusing on developing new knowledge across all functional areas of business and curriculum. Papers in these tracks are ideally positioned for publication consideration by Decision Sciences Journal and the Decision Sciences Journal of Innovative Education plus other high impact
business journals and business education journals. Panels in these tracks focus on identifying emerging research topics, identifying leading edge issues, topics, and methodologies.

Accounting
Big Data Applications in Logistics and Supply Chains
Business Analytics
Cyber Security
Decision Sciences in Practice
Finance and Economics
Global Operations
Healthcare Management
Information Systems and Technology
Innovation and New Product Development
Innovative Education and Teaching
Logistics and Transportation Management
Managing Risk in Supply Chains
Manufacturing and Production Management
Marketing and Consumer Behavior
Operations and Supply Chain Management in Emerging Economies
Organizational Behavior and Human Resource Management
Procurement and Sourcing in Supply Management
Project Management
Quality Management and Lean Operations
Revenue Management
Service Systems and Operations
Social Media and Internet of Things
Strategic Management
Supply Chain Management
Sustainability, CSR, and Humanitarian Operations

Special Interest Groups
Data, Analytics and Statistics Instruction (DASI)

Workshops
The 2018 DSI Annual Meeting offers many professional development workshops intended to help attendees become better researchers, teachers, administrators, and professional service volunteers. In addition to submitted workshops, highlighted below, are some of the exciting Workshops you not want to miss in Chicago. Workshop leaders have been asked to make these sessions as interactive and hands-on as possible.

Behavioral Research and Experimentation in Operations Management
Paradigms for Parity in Advancing Women Leadership in Supply Chain and Operations Management
Presenting Business Analytic Results to Senior Managers
Best Practices in Planning a US Regional DSI Conference
How to Review to Become an Editor for a Journal
How to Publish in Top Tier Journals
Meet the Editors of DSI Journals
Meet the Editors of Non-DSI Journals
Publishing in DSJ Workshop
Awards Competitions
We have four Awards Competitions at the 2018 Annual Meeting of DSI in Chicago, Illinois (USA). The Best Paper Awards finalists will be chosen by the 2018 Track Chairs. Four winners from each of the following categories will be announced at the 2018 Annual Meeting of DSI in Chicago, Illinois (USA):

- Best Theory-Driven Empirical Research Paper
- Best Analytical Research Paper
- Best Application Paper
- Best Student Paper

Other Awards are directly submit-able. For more general information and detailed submission criteria for each award competition listed below go to the conference website:

- Best Teaching Case Studies Award
- Elwood S. Buffa Doctoral Dissertation Award
- Instructional Innovation Award Competition

Consortium
We are again continuing the Post-Proposal PhD, Pre-Proposal PhD, New Faculty Development, and Mid-Career Faculty Development Consortia in 2018. Below is the list of Consortia.

- Post-Proposal PhD Consortium
- Pre-Proposal PhD Consortium
- New Faculty Development Consortium
- Mid-Career Faculty Development Consortium

We look forward to seeing everyone at the DSI 2018 Annual Meeting in Chicago, Illinois (USA)! Stay tuned for updated information on various tracks, panels, workshops, keynotes, special events and activities!

The venue for the 2018 DSI Annual Meeting will be the Hilton Chicago Hotel, 720 S. Michigan Ave. This hotel is centrally located and offers excellent access to restaurants, tours and entertainment, as well as tourist attractions in Chicago.

Again, for more detailed information and submission instructions go to the conference website. I, along with the entire DSI 2018 Annual Meeting team, look forward to seeing you in Chicago!
IN MEMORIAM

LARRY MOORE

(1938 – 2018)

Laurence J. (Larry) Moore joined the faculty of the Department of Business Administration in the College of Business at Virginia Tech in 1970 after receiving his PhD and M.S. from Arizona State University. He had previously spent two years in the U.S Army, received a B.A. in Economics from Monmouth College, and worked for Standard Oil Company and the Continental Illinois National Bank in Chicago. He served as Head of the Department of Business Administration from 1976 to 1980, which at the time included the academic areas of marketing, management, management science and finance. In 1980 these academic areas were formally designated as departments and Larry served as the first Head of the Management Science Department (later renamed the Department of Business Information Technology) from 1980 to 1983. He also later served as Director of University Financial Planning and Analysis, and as Director of University Planning. In 1985 Larry was named the C&P Telephone Professor of Management Science, the first endowed professorship created in the College of Business. This professorship was subsequently renamed the Bell Atlantic-Virginia Professor of Management Science, and later the Verizon Professor of Business Information Technology. Larry held this position until his retirement in 2007.

Larry was very active in the Decision Sciences Institute (DSI) serving as National Program Chair for its 1979 annual meeting in New Orleans, and President in 1983, and chairing numerous national committees. He also served as co-program chair for the first annual meeting of the Southeast Region of the Decision Sciences Institute (known at the time as the American Institute of Decision Sciences), and later as President of the Southeast Region Chapter of DSI. Larry was the recipient of the Distinguished Service Award for the Southeast Region of DSI in 1977, and the Distinguished Service Award for the National Decision Sciences Institute in 1986. In 1980 he was named a Fellow of the Decision Sciences Institute. In 1985 he received the Distinguished Service Award from the Southeast Region of the Institute of Management Sciences (now INFORMS).

Larry was a prolific researcher authoring over 50 research articles and three books in his career. During his career at Virginia Tech he served on over two hundred departmental, college and university committees. He was dedicated teacher, teaching a wide range of graduate and undergraduate courses, and he received four college teaching excellence awards. He also chaired and served on numerous doctoral committees. Each year the Department of Business Information Technology (BIT) presents the L.J. Moore Outstanding Achievement award to a BIT major who has exhibited outstanding service and academic achievements. Larry’s career at Virginia Tech spanned 37 years until his retirement in 2007.
By Vivian Landrum, Executive Director

Changes continue to take place at the DSI Home Office and I am happy to report they are all good!

GROWTHZONE

As you may have seen from our website, DSI contracted with a new member management system in late December – GrowthZone (GZ). This system will enable us to more effectively manage our member database and more efficiently handle our annual meeting registrations. This includes DSI regional annual meeting registrations as well. The GZ registration module offers automated attendee invitations, registration tracking, sponsorship offerings, customized online event display, and detailed event reporting. Both Western and Midwest DSI regions utilized GrowthZone for their 2018 conference registrations. This is offered to all DSI regions and divisions at no cost.

Member renewals in GZ are now paid via invoices. Once logged in, members will find their invoice under the Account tab in their Info Hub. (If you do not find an invoice created for you, it may indicate your membership is more than 6 months past due. If this is the case, contact the Home office and we can remedy that for you.) Invoices are easily paid online by simply clicking on the Open Invoice and then Actions tab to enter credit card information. Open and Paid invoices can be downloaded as receipts at any time.

Coming soon is the online DSI Member Directory, which is populated from GrowthZone and will be located on the DSI website. Members will be able to connect and engage with fellow DSI members via a Members Only portal. Member information will include university, division, region and areas of academic interest. To ensure the correct and up to date information is on file for you, please visit the DSI website, log in and update your member information in your GZ Info Hub. If you are logging into GrowthZone for the first time, you will need to Create an Account, as your old user name and password will not work.

HOME OFFICE STAFF – NEW HIRE

Welcome new contracted employee Bertha Allen to the Home Office. Bertha joined us in February on a part-time basis and will be responsible for our bookkeeping/accounting processes. She has a wealth of experience with bookkeeping and tax services, and is very proficient in QuickBooks. Bertha will ensure our home office and regional accounts are reconciled to the penny!

DSI 2018 ANNUAL MEETING

Your 2018 Program Chair, Subodha Kumar, and his team are hard at work, planning an exceptional event for the Annual Meeting to be held in Chicago, IL in November. In response to comments and suggestions from the 2017 Annual Meeting survey, you can expect to see positive changes that should improve the overall event. More volunteers are planned to assist attendees throughout the 3-day event. Registration will open an hour before the first session is planned on Saturday, Sunday and Monday. As in the past, registration will continue to open at 12 noon on Friday. Please know all comments and suggestions offered via the survey were read and wherever possible, taken into account as planning for this year progresses. I’m sure it is understood hotel constraints often come into play and impact final decisions.

UPDATES – STRATEGIC PLAN – FY2016-17 AUDIT – REVISED CONSTITUTION

As you read in President Gupta’s farewell message, your DSI 2017-18 Board of Directors worked diligently over several months to draft and approve a five-year strategic plan. This plan will be employed during the next five years to ensure consistency and
follow-through on DSI Board actions. This strategic management defines the direction and specifies goals to help drive DSI towards sustainable growth and enhancements. The Strategic Plan is available on the DSI website.

Auditors, Blazek and Vetterling, are near completion of their audit for DSI’s FY2016-17. We anticipate a final report from them in early April. This report will be shared with all regions upon receipt.

As was shared at the November Annual Business Meeting, DSI’s Board of Directors approved a revised Constitution which was to be sent to the membership for vote. Prior to sending this out, the draft was shared with an attorney specializing in non-profit associations and licensed in the state of Georgia, as DSI is incorporated there. The attorney has suggested some additional changes and verbiage that will bring our Constitution up to date and more aligned with current laws and practices. Once the Board receives and reviews all suggested edits, they will again vote on the final draft to present to the membership. We hope to receive the draft from the attorney by the end of March.

DSI membership has been on the rise since the 2017 Annual Meeting. With the new member management system, we are now able to track memberships more efficiently and ensure the correct membership amount is assigned to the individual member. As a result of the ISDSI annual meeting held in December, we have seen a slight rise in members from that region.

DSI membership is based on the country the member resides in and the gross domestic product (GDP) per capita of that country. The membership rates are divided into three categories with countries assigned according to their GDP per capita. Those countries with a GDP per capita at the 75th percentile or higher are the Category A members. Those in the 50th – 75th percentile are in Category B and those who fall in the less than 50th percentile are Category C. In addition, DSI has regular, emeritus and student membership rates. Student memberships, which are free, can now be tracked more easily to ensure those who no longer qualify for that status are moved to their proper category.

To view the listing of countries assigned to each category and the rates assigned see the Membership Rate Chart found on the DSI website or at the back of this magazine.
CAROL LATTA MEMORIAL AWARD FOR OUTSTANDING EARLY CAREER SCHOLAR

DEADLINE: AUGUST 31, 2018

AWARD INFORMATION

The Carol Latta Memorial Award for Outstanding Early Career Scholar recognizes a scholar in the early stages of his or her career in the field of Decision Sciences and who has contributed to the Institute and its goals over the recent past. The award is presented at the Annual Meeting of the Decision Sciences Institute in November 2018. The awardee will receive a plaque and a $500.00 honorarium.

ELIGIBILITY

To be eligible:
• A candidate being nominated must be a current member of the Institute in good standing who received his or her terminal degree (e.g., Ph.D., DBA, etc.) within the past five (5) years.
• The nomination should come from a faculty member or academic administrator who are also members of the Institute in good standing (no self-nominations).
• The nomination must include a recommendation letter on official university letterhead and a current curriculum vita (CV) of the candidate.
• The recommendation letter (no more than five pages) should explain why the candidate deserves to be recognized in terms of (a) impact of scholarship on the field of Decision Sciences, (b) excellence in teaching in the field of Decisons Sciences, and/or (b) contributions and service to the Institute.

SUBMISSIONS

The nomination letter and candidate CV should be emailed to info@decisionsciences.org with Carol Latta Memorial Award for Outstanding Early Career Scholar in the subject line. Once received, a confirmation email will be sent to both the nominator and the candidate to acknowledge receipt.

DEADLINE

All nominations must be received by August 31, 2018.

SELECTION COMMITTEE

The Selection Committee includes the:
• Immediate Past President (Selection Committee Chair)
• VP for Member Services
• VP for Professional Development
• VP for Global Activities
• Recipient of the previous year’s award

Decision Sciences Institute
C.T. Bauer College of Business
334 Melcher Hall, Suite 325
Houston, TX 77204-6021
Email: info@decisionsciences.org
Office: 713-743-4815
Fax: 713-743-8984
Web: www.decisionsciences.org
2018 EDSI conference is approaching. We are pleased to update the DSI community on this event.

CONFERENCE VENUE

The Conference will be hosted in two extremely attractive locations: Udine and Venice (Italy).

Venice is one of the best-known tourist destinations in the world. The city has an average of 18 million tourists a year thanks to its celebrated art and architecture.

Udine is a small historical town with several squares of Venetian style: from Piazza Libertà, defined as “the most beautiful Venetian square on the mainland”, to Piazza Matteotti, all surrounded by porticos, and looking like an open-air lounge.

CONFERENCE THEME

The chosen conference theme is: “Decision Sciences for the New Global Economy”.

Few decades of rapid globalization processes have dismantled previous business and institutional landscapes without proposing new effective orders. The horizon in front of us is confused: high disparities in wealth distribution, vulnerability of real economies to the movements of the financial markets, instability of the location advantages, and a generalized unemployment problem with relevant social sustainability consequences. The difficulty of governing processes that overcome the sovereignty of individual countries, and the weakness of supranational governments, explain why protectionist temptations are resurfacing not only in the Western context.
We live then a transition phase where companies and institutions are urged to rethink the conceptual models and the decision patterns so far used. The theme of the conference aims at exploring the future of globalization as we know it today, re-assessing the underlying theories and the relevant practices in both institutional and business environments, and providing innovative guidance to managerial and policy issues.

**SPECIAL EXPERIENCES**

The standard fee includes 2 lunches, 3 dinners, 4 coffee breaks with a prizewinning handmade Italian gelato (icecream) corner, a Italian wine tasting event, an Italian liquor tasting event, a Udine guided tour, transfers from Udine to Venice on June 6th.

**VENICE TOUR (OPTIONAL)**

This tour includes a marvelous gondola experience, the Doge’s Palace and the Saint Mark’s Basilica guided visit, the city tour and a lunch in Venice in a 5 star hotel facing Canal Grande.

The gondola experice will begin just behind Saint Mark’s Square, following smaller but unbelievably beautiful secondary canals such as Rio dei Barcaioli where the historical Mozart’s home is located, and Rio dell’Ovo to reach the Grand Canal. Then, you will enjoy the spectacular view of the Rialto Bridge from the water, and to your left imposing palazzi such as Ca’ Farsetti, seat of the municipal government, and Palazzo Grimani, the largest building overlooking the Grand Canal. The tour continues down Rio San Luca where it passes Palazzo Manin, residence of the last doge of Venice.

**Saint Mark’s Basilica** is the cathedral of the Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Venice. It is the most famous among the city’s churches and one of the best-known examples of Italian-Byzantine architecture. The basilica started to be built in 1063. The upper levels of the interior are completely covered with ancient bright mosaics covering an area of about 8000 square meters.

Further information can be found at our website: [http://www.edsi-conference.org/](http://www.edsi-conference.org/)
The 49th Annual Meeting of the Southwest Decision Sciences Institute (SWDSI) was held on March 7-10, 2018 at Hyatt Regency & Albuquerque Convention Center in Albuquerque, New Mexico. The conference brought together 140 attendees, including 27 students. Attendees came from several countries. The program chair himself, Khaled Alshare, came from Qatar. He has been a loyal member of SWDSI for a long time since he was a faculty in the United States. Despite being in Qatar, he worked hard to attract papers for the conference and communicate with his team members constantly. As a result, there were 140 submissions to 21 tracks, workshops and panels. Of those submissions, 46 were accepted as full papers, 77 as abstracts into 36 sessions. There were 3 workshops, 2 panels and one Doctoral consortium.

Southwest DSI continues to put a special emphasis on attracting new students and especially doctoral students. It spends a significant amount of money for the Doctoral Consortium Luncheon, fee waiver for doctoral consortium attendees and for the best student paper awards. The money is well worth it in the long term, not only for SWDSI but also for DSI. These students become the future leaders of our organizations. There are several examples. Despite financial issues faced by SWDSI in recent years, the policy of continued support for students is expected to continue in the foreseeable future.

The president of Southwest DSI, Mohan Rao, presided over the Executive Officers’ meeting in the morning and the Business Meeting in the afternoon. The conference was a great success because of the teamwork. Besides the president, the leadership team included Mark McMurtrey, president-elect, Khaled Alshare, program chair, and Matt Lindsey, program chair-elect, who worked closely for the whole year. He pointed out the strengths and weaknesses of SWDSI and the opportunities that exist to overcome some of the challenges. SWDSI has very loyal, long-term members who have been serving the association for decades just for the love of it. SWDSI operates efficiently. It continues a great teamwork by which the incoming officers will not miss a beat when it is time to lead. We were pleased to have Johnny Rungtusanatham, President-Elect of the Decision Science Institute, attend the conference and meetings.

This year, the Business meeting overflowed the meeting room. The most enjoyable part of the meeting was recognizing the dedication and the hard work of members that make this conference successful year after year. All the committees worked hard and produced excellent results. They
have identified the outstanding members of SWDSI for their dedication, service and achievement. Carl Rebman received the 2018 SWDSI Outstanding Educator Award. David Douglas and Mike Hanna received the SWDSI Lifetime Achievement Awards for 32 years and 36 years of service respectively. Southwest DSI has been truly blessed to have these outstanding individuals. We are going to miss David and Mike. We wish them well deserved rest in their retirement.

Our 50th Golden Jubilee Meeting will be on March 16-19, 2019 at Hyatt Regency Houston in Houston, Texas. Because of its significance, our leadership team is making an all-out effort to attract a huge turnout. We hope you will join us. For more information about SWDSI, please visit our website http://www.swdsi.org or contact the incoming Program Chair, Matt Lindsey, at lindseymd@sfasu.edu.

ATTEND ONE OF THE DSI REGIONAL ANNUAL MEETINGS NEAR YOU

Make plans to attend – either one near you or one that offers information on your area of interest.

To find out more about each of the regional annual meetings or to register to attend, check out their websites:

**WDSI Annual Meeting** – Kauai, Hawaii
April 3 – 6, 2018

**MWDSI Annual Meeting** – Indianapolis, IN
April 12 – 14, 2018

**NEDSI Annual Meeting** – Providence, RI
April 12 – 14, 2018

**EDSI Annual Meeting** – Venice and Udine, Italy
June 3 – 6, 2018

**APDSI Annual Meeting** – Bangkok, Thailand
July 16 - 20, 2018
OFFICERS OF OUR AMERICAS REGIONAL SUBDIVISIONS

MWDSI
President: Peggy Daniels Lee, Indiana University-Purdue University – Indianapolis
Past President: Jaideep Motwani, Grand Valley State University
President-Elect: Sanjay Kumar, Valparaiso University
Secretary: Manohar Madan, University of Wisconsin – Whitewater
Treasurer: Jun He, University of Michigan – Dearborn
VP, Planning & Development: Jean Essila, Northern Michigan University
VP, Publications: Mark Dobeck, Cleveland State University
VP, Member Services: Xiangling Hu, Grand Valley State University
VP, Student Liaison: John Parente, Mercyhurst University
VP, Industry Liaison: Qiannong “Chan” Gu Ball State University
Archivist: Xiaodong Deng, Oakland University
V.P. of Technology: Gene Fliedner, Oakland University

SEDSI
President: Suzie Smith, Presbyterian College
President Elect: Reza Kheirandish, Clayton State University
Past-President: Jason Deane, Virginia Tech
Program Chair: Tobin Turner, Presbyterian College
Program Chair Elect: Cheryl Aasheim, Georgia Southern University
Secretary (2016-2017): Sara Bliss Kiser, Alabama State University
V.P. Finance: Chris McCart, Roanoke College
V.P. Member Services: Ali Nazemi, Roanoke College
V.P. Planning & Development: Mauro Falasca, East Carolina University
V.P. Publications: Shona Morgan, North Carolina A&T University
V.P. Student Services: Laquanda Leaven, North Carolina A&T University
V.P. Meetings: L. Drew Rosen, University of North Carolina Wilmington
V.P. Web Services: John O’Malley, Georgia Southern University
Archivist: George S. Lowry, Randolph-Macon College

NEDSI
President: Pedro Reyes, Baylor University
Immediate Past President: Joy Field, Boston College
President-Elect: Neset Hikmet, University of South Carolina
Program Chair (2018): Doug Hales, University of Rhode Island
Program Chair-Elect (2019): Eric Stein, Pennsylvania State Univ - Malvern
VP Communications: Hal Ravinder, Montclair State University
VP Member Services: Carolyn Lamacchia, Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania
VP Promotional Activities: Javad Paknejad, Hofstra University
VP Technology: Koray Ozpolat, University of Rhode Island
Treasurer: Lynn Ruggieri, Roger Williams University
Secretary: Gang Li, Bentley University
Archivist: Jennifer Swanson, Stonehill College

SWDSI
President: Mohan Rao, Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi
President-Elect: Mark McMurtrey, University of Central Arkansas
Program Chair: Khaled Alshare, Qatar University
Program Chair-Elect: Matthew Lindsey, Stephen F. Austin State University
Past President: Hong Qin, University of Texas - Rio Grande Valley
Secretary: Kittipong Boonme, Texas Women’s University
VP- Finance: Michael Lane, Missouri Western State University
VP - Member Services: Gina Harden, Stephen F. Austin State University
OFFICERS OF OUR INTERNATIONAL REGIONAL SUBDIVISIONS

**APDSI**
- **President:** Tritos Laosirihongthong, Thammasat University, Thailand
- **Past President:** Daesik Hur, Yonsei University, Korea
- **President-Elect:** Don Kerr, University of the Sunshine Coast, Australia
- **VP, Australiasia:** Don Kerr, University of the Sunshine Coast, Australia
- **VP, At Large:** Jiahua Weng, Waseda University, Japan

**EDSI**
- **President:** Gyula Vastag, Szechenyi University
- **Immediate Past President:** Constantin Blome, University of Sussex
- **President-Elect:** Marco Sartor, University of Udine
- **European VP:** Markku Kuula, Aalto University

**WDSI**
- **President:** Natasa Christodoulidou, CSU Dominguez Hills
- **Immediate Past President:** John Bell, University of Tennessee
- **President Elect:** Albert Huang, University of the Pacific

**Vice President of Programs and Program Chair:**
Omer Benli, CSU Long Beach

**Vice President and Program Chair Elect:**
Theodore Byrne, CSU Dominguez Hills

**Vice President for Members Services:**
Salem Boumediene, Montana State University-Billings

**Secretary Treasure:**
Sheldon Smith, Utah Valley University

**Director of Information Systems:**
Khosrow Moshirvaziri, CSU Long Beach

**VP South Europe:**
Sukran Atadeniz, Yeditepe University

**VP North Europe:**
Bart MacCarthy, University of Nottingham

**VP Technology:**
Jose Benitez, University of Granada

**ISDSI**
- **President:** Abhijeet K. Digalwar, BITS, Pilani
- **Vice President:** Ravi Kumar Jain, Symbiosis Institute of Business Management – India
- **Vice President (At Large):** Ramachandran (Nat) Natarajan, Tennessee Tech University
- **Director:** Bhimaraya A. Metri, Indian Institute of Management
- **Director:** Nilesh Berad, MET Institute of Management
OPEN POSITIONS AT HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

The Decision Sciences Institute website provides a listing of open academic positions. Below you will find Placement Listings for January 26 - March 15, 2018. For more details on these and other position listings, as well as applicant listings, visit the DSI website – decisionsciences.org. Ready to post a position? Guidelines on how to list your position can be found there as well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSTING DATE</th>
<th>INSTITUTION</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>JOB TITLE</th>
<th>JOB TYPE</th>
<th>AREA OF INTEREST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/15/18</td>
<td>The University of Auckland</td>
<td>Auckland</td>
<td>Senior Lecturer/Associate Professor see details</td>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>Business Analytics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/15/18</td>
<td>Kent State University</td>
<td>Warren, Ohio, USA</td>
<td>Assistant Professor see details</td>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>Management Information Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/14/18</td>
<td>Northeastern State University</td>
<td>Tahlequah/Broken Arrow, OK, USA</td>
<td>Assistant Professor see details</td>
<td>Full Time Tenure Track</td>
<td>Business Decision Analysis, Operations Management, Business Statistics, Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/9/18</td>
<td>Old Dominion University</td>
<td>Norfolk, Virginia, USA</td>
<td>Clinical Assistant/Associate Professor see details</td>
<td>Full Time-Clinical</td>
<td>Maritime Supply Chain Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/9/18</td>
<td>Washington University</td>
<td>St. Louis, MO, USA</td>
<td>Visiting Position or Lecturer see details</td>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>Operations Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/18</td>
<td>Virginia Commonwealth University</td>
<td>Richmond, VA, USA</td>
<td>Assistant/Associate Professor see details</td>
<td>Full Time Tenure Track</td>
<td>Supply Chain Management &amp; Analytics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/19/18</td>
<td>University of Maine</td>
<td>Orono, Maine</td>
<td>Assistant Professor see details</td>
<td>Full Time Tenure Track</td>
<td>Management Information Systems Operations Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/14/18</td>
<td>Arizona State University</td>
<td>Tempe, AZ, USA</td>
<td>Clinical Assistant Professor and Lecturer see details</td>
<td>Non-Tenure Track</td>
<td>Operations, Supply Management or Logistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/12/18</td>
<td>University of Illinois</td>
<td>Champaign, IL, USA</td>
<td>Postdoctoral Fellow see details</td>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>Information Systems, International Business, Organizational Behavior, Marketing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/8/18</td>
<td>Michigan State University</td>
<td>East Lansing, MI, USA</td>
<td>Instructor/Assistant Professor see details</td>
<td>Half Time/Fixed Term</td>
<td>Logistics/Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/2/18</td>
<td>University of Rhode Island</td>
<td>Kingston, RI</td>
<td>Lecturer see details</td>
<td>Full Time Academic</td>
<td>Supply Chain Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/31/18</td>
<td>University of Houston</td>
<td>Houston, TX, USA</td>
<td>Assistant/Associate Professor see details</td>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>Technology Project Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/26/18</td>
<td>Georgia Southern University</td>
<td>Statesboro, Georgia, USA</td>
<td>Assistant Professor see details</td>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>Logistics &amp; Supply Chain Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/26/18</td>
<td>Louisiana State University</td>
<td>Baton Rouge, LA, USA</td>
<td>Assistant Professor see details</td>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>Entrepreneurship &amp; Information Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Past Presidents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 - CURRENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016–2017</td>
<td>Funda Sahin, University of Houston</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015–2016</td>
<td>Morgan Swink, Texas Christian University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014–2015</td>
<td>Marc Schniederjans, Deceased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013–2014</td>
<td>Maling Ebrahimpour, University of South Florida, St. Petersburg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012–2013</td>
<td>E. Powell Robinson, Jr., University of Houston</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011–2012</td>
<td>Krishna S. Dhir, Berry College</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010–2011</td>
<td>G. Keong Leong, University of Nevada, Las Vegas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009–2010</td>
<td>Ram Narasimhan, Michigan State University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 – 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008–2009</td>
<td>Norma J. Harrison, Macquarie Graduate School of Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007–2008</td>
<td>Kenneth E. Kendall, Rutgers University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006–2007</td>
<td>Mark M. Davis, Bentley University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005–2006</td>
<td>Thomas E. Callarman, China Europe International Business School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004–2005</td>
<td>Gary L. Ragatz, Michigan State University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003–2004</td>
<td>Barbara B. Flynn, Indiana University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002–2003</td>
<td>Thomas W. Jones, University of Arkansas–Fayetteville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001–2002</td>
<td>F. Robert Jacobs, Indiana University–Bloomington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000–2001</td>
<td>Michael J. Showalter, Florida State University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999–2000</td>
<td>Lee J. Krajewski, University of Notre Dame</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990–1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997–1998</td>
<td>James R. Evans, University of Cincinnati</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996–1997</td>
<td>Betty J. Whitten, University of Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994–1995</td>
<td>K. Roscoe Davis, University of Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993–1994</td>
<td>Larry P. Ritzman, Ohio State University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991–1992</td>
<td>Robert E. Markland, University of South Carolina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990–1991</td>
<td>Ronald J. Ebert, University of Missouri–Columbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988–1989</td>
<td>William L. Berry, Ohio State University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987–1988</td>
<td>James M. Clapper, Aladdin TempRite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986–1987</td>
<td>William R. Darden, Deceased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985–1986</td>
<td>Harvey J. Brightman, Georgia State University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984–1985</td>
<td>Sang M. Lee, University of Nebraska–Lincoln</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1982–1983</td>
<td>Linda G. Sprague, China Europe International Business School</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979–1981</td>
<td>D. Clay Whybark, University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1978–1979</td>
<td>John Neter, University of Georgia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976–1977</td>
<td>Lawrence L. Schkade, University of Texas–Arlington</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1975–1976</td>
<td>Kenneth P. Uhl, Deceased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1974–1975</td>
<td>Albert J. Simone, Rochester Institute of Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973–1974</td>
<td>Gene K. Groff, Georgia State University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972–1973</td>
<td>Rodger D. Collons, Drexel University</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971–1972</td>
<td>George W. Summers, Deceased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969–1971</td>
<td>Dennis E. Grawoig, Deceased</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order for the nominee to be considered, the nominator must submit in electronic form a full vita of the nominee along with a letter of nomination which highlights the contributions made by the nominee in research, teaching and/or administration and service to the Institute. Nominations must highlight the nominee’s contributions and provide appropriate supporting information which may not be contained in the vita. A candidate cannot be considered for two consecutive years.

Send nominations to:
Chair of the Fellows Committee
Decision Sciences Institute
C.T. Bauer College of Business 334 Melcher Hall, Suite 325
Houston, TX 77204-6021
info@decisionsciences.org
INSTITUTE CALENDAR

2018

April 3 – 6  Western DSI Annual Meeting
            Kauai, Hawaii

April 12 – 14 Midwest DSI Annual Meeting
             Indianapolis, IN

April 12 – 14 Northeast DSI Annual Meeting
             Providence, RI

June 3 – 6  European DSI Annual Meeting
            Venice and Udine, Italy

July 16 – 20 Asia-Pacific DSI Annual Meeting
             Bangkok, Thailand

November 16-19 DSI National Annual Meeting
                Chicago Hilton, Chicago, IL

Visit the DSI website for details on these upcoming events.

MEMBERSHIP RATES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBER TYPE</th>
<th>MEMBER CATEGORY A</th>
<th>MEMBER CATEGORY B</th>
<th>MEMBER CATEGORY C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 75th</td>
<td>50th - 75th</td>
<td>Less than 50th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>$160</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>$40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emeritus</td>
<td>$80</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Visit the DSI website for details on these upcoming events.